## Detection of *in vivo* protein interactions between Snf1-related kinase subunits with intron-tagged epitope-labelling in plants cells

Alejandro Ferrando, Zsuzsana Koncz-Kálmán, Rosa Farràs, Antonio Tiburcio<sup>1</sup>, Jeff Schell and Csaba Koncz\*

Max-Planck Institut für Züchtungsforschung, Carl-von-Linné-Weg 10, D-50829 Köln, Germany and <sup>1</sup>Unitat de Fisiologia Vegetal, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 643, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

Received April 3, 2001; Revised and Accepted July 13, 2001

#### ABSTRACT

Plant orthologs of the yeast sucrose non-fermenting (Snf1) kinase and mammalian AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) represent an emerging class of important regulators of metabolic and stress signalling. The catalytic  $\alpha$ -subunits of plant Snf1-related kinases (SnRKs) interact in the yeast two-hybrid system with different proteins that share conserved domains with the  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -subunits of Snf1 and AMPKs. However, due to the lack of a robust technique allowing the detection of protein interactions in plant cells, it is unknown whether these proteins indeed occur in SnRK complexes in vivo. Here we describe a double-labelling technique, using introntagged hemagglutinin (HA) and c-Myc epitope sequences, which provides a simple tool for coimmunopurification of interacting proteins expressed in Agrobacterium-transformed Arabidopsis cells. This generally applicable plant protein interaction assay was used to demonstrate that AKIN $\beta$ 2, a plant ortholog of conserved Snf1/AMPK β-subunits, forms different complexes with the catalytic  $\alpha$ -subunits of Arabidopsis SnRK protein kinases AKIN10 and AKIN11 in vivo.

## INTRODUCTION

The genome sequence of the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* has recently been completed and annotated providing a wealth of information for emerging proteomics and functional genomics studies (1,2). Construction of new platforms for transcript profiling aim at an integrated analysis of gene expression (3), whereas systematic protein interaction screens in the yeast two-hybrid system offer a means for characterisation of the proteome (4,5). As detection of protein interactions in the yeast two-hybrid system does not necessarily imply that the same proteins also interact in plants, there is a need for development of new techniques to facilitate the detection of *in vivo* protein interactions in the analysis of

subunit composition of plant protein complexes are well illustrated by the example of Snf1-related plant protein kinases (SnRKs).

SnRKs belong to the conserved family of yeast sucrose nonfermenting (Snf1) kinase and animal AMP-activated kinase (AMPK) (6). These conserved protein kinases are heterotrimeric enzymes consisting of  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -subunits. The catalytic α-subunit carries an N-terminal serine/threonine protein kinase domain followed by C-terminal regulatory sequences which function as a kinase autoinhibitory domain (7). In the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae the  $\alpha$ -subunit is encoded by the SNF1 gene, which is required for proper regulation of glycogen storage, sporulation and transcriptional derepression of glucose-repressed genes (8). In comparison, two different isoforms of  $\alpha$ -subunit are known in mammals (9). The  $\gamma$ -subunit encoded by the SNF4 gene in yeast is involved in maintaining the active conformation of the  $\alpha$ -subunit by binding to its autoinhibitory domain (7). The  $\beta$ -subunit mediates the formation of a heterotrimeric complex because it can independently interact with both the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -subunits (10). Co-transfection experiments indicate that the  $\beta$ -subunit is also required for reconstitution of AMPK kinase activity in animal cells (11). Remarkably, three genes (SIP1, SIP2 and GAL83) code for the  $\beta$ -subunits in yeast, whereas only two  $\beta$ -isoforms have been found so far in mammals (12). Recent studies in yeast demonstrate that, in addition to the assembly of active kinase complex, the  $\beta$ -subunits are required for specific recognition of diverse kinase substrates (13).

Orthologs of the Snf1/AMPK  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -subunits have been identified in several plant species (14–20). Phylogenetic analysis indicates a remarkable homology between the catalytic  $\alpha$ -subunits of plant SnRKs and other members of the Snf1/AMPK family (21). According to this classification, plant protein kinases showing the highest similarity to Snf1 and AMPKs belong to the SnRK1 subfamily. In *Arabidopsis* two SnRK1  $\alpha$ -subunits are known which are capable of functionally complementing the yeast *snf1* mutation (16). In addition, two different *Arabidopsis* proteins sharing homologous C $\beta$ S (cystathione  $\beta$  synthase) domains with the Snf1/AMPK  $\gamma$ -subunits have been identified. However, only one of these putative *Arabidopsis* SnRK  $\gamma$ -subunits (AtSNF4) was observed to suppress the *snf4* deficiency in yeast (17,19). Based on

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +49 221 5062 230; Fax: +49 221 5062 213; Email: koncz@mpiz-koeln.mpg.de

sequence homology, two *Arabidopsis* genes encoding potential orthologs of Snf1/AMPK  $\beta$ -subunits were identified and characterised by different patterns of transcriptional regulation (17). The interaction properties of these putative SnRK subunits have been studied in the yeast two-hybrid system and protein interaction assays *in vitro* (17,19). Nonetheless, it is still an open question whether the highly variable putative  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -subunits indeed occur *in vivo* in common complexes with SnRK  $\alpha$ -subunits, which are remarkably conserved in plant cells.

To characterise the interactions between SnRK subunits in vivo, we exploited an epitope tagging technique which was recently developed for facile detection and cellular localisation of proteins in Agrobacterium-transformed Arabidopsis cells (22). By generating fusions between intron-tagged epitope coding domains and plant cDNAs, this technique eliminates artificial expression of proteins in Agrobacterium. Thus, the expression of proteins in plant cells can be specifically and sensitively detected as early as 5 days after transformation. To verify the results of yeast two-hybrid tests, a co-immunopurification assay was developed based on co-expression of interacting proteins carrying hemagglutinin (HA) and c-Myc epitopes in plant cells. Using this generally applicable plant protein interaction assay, we demonstrate here that the catalytic α-subunits of Arabidopsis protein kinases AKIN10 and AKIN11 form different SnRK complexes with a regulatory AKINβ2 subunit *in vivo*.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Plasmid constructs**

Vectors carrying plant gene expression cassettes with an intron-tagged HA epitope coding domain were described previously (22). Similar vectors with an intron-tagged c-Myc epitope were constructed using a series of PCR reactions. A HindIII fragment of p35S GUS INT (23), containing intron IV2 of the potato gene ST-LS1 (24), was used as a template in combination with the primers MYCPIV1 (5'-GGAGATCT-GAGCAAAAGTTGATTGTAAGTTTCTGCTTC TACCT-3') and MYCPIV2 (5'-GGGTCGACAAGATCCTCCTCAGACT-GCACATCAACAAATTTTG-3'), both of which carried five codons from the c-Myc epitope coding sequence (in bold). The PCR product was cloned as a *Bgl*II-*Sal*I fragment in pPE1000 (25) and sequenced to yield the vector pMESHI. The MYCPIV2comp primer (5'-GGGTCGACTCAAGATCCTC-CTCAGACTGCACATCAACAAATTTTG-3') in combination with MYCPIV1 (see above) was used to similarly amplify and clone a PCR fragment in pPE1000 to obtain pLOLA. The vector pGIGI was obtained by SalI digestion and religation of plasmid pMESHI.

The intron-tagged c-Myc epitope coding domain was fused in multiple steps to the 3'-end AKIN11 coding region to create a vector for expression of AKIN11 tagged with a C-terminal c-Myc epitope. First, AKIN11 sequences in pGEM (19) were used as template for PCR amplification of a 3' segment from the coding region by removing the stop codon using the primer pair 5'-CCCGGGAATTCCGTGTTCCAAGTGGCTATCT-3' and 5'-GGGGTCGACGATATCCACACGAAGCTCGCGA-AG-3' (AKIN11 sequences in bold; restriction sites in italic). The obtained *XbaI–SalI* fragment, which encoded 175 C-terminal residues of AKIN11 without a stop codon, was used to substitute the corresponding AKIN11 fragment in pGEM. The modified AKIN11 coding region was cloned as a *NcoI–Eco*RV fragment in the *NcoI* and *SmaI* sites of pMESHI to obtain pAKIN11-myc. After filling in the ends with T4 DNA polymerase, a *Not*I fragment carrying the plant expression cassette from pAKIN11-myc was inserted into a filled-in *Hind*III site of binary vector pPCV002 (26), yielding pAKIN11-myc-pPCV002.

To generate a translational fusion between the c-Myc epitope and the N-terminus of AKIN10, first a blunt-ended *Not*I fragment, carrying a plant expression cassette from pLOLA, was inserted into the filled-in *Eco*RI and *Bam*HI sites of pPCV002 to yield pPCV002-LOLA. The stop codon was removed from the AKIN10 cDNA as described above (T.Kleinow, unpublished results). The modified AKIN10 coding region was isolated from pGEM and, following treatment with T4 DNA polymerase, inserted as an *Eco*RI–*Sal*I fragment into a filled-in *Bam*HI site of pPCV002-LOLA to construct pMyc-AKIN10pPCV002. To obtain a control pMyc-GUS construct, a *Sal*I– *Eco*RI fragment, carrying a *uidA/GUS* gene from pHiA-GUS (22), was cloned in pPCV002-LOLA.

To label AKIN $\beta$ 2 with an N-terminal HA epitope, a fulllength AKIN $\beta$ 2 cDNA was synthesised by PCR amplification using a cDNA clone isolated from an *Arabidopsis* cDNA library (see below) and primer pair 5'-GGG*GTCGAC*GGCCA-TGGGC**TCTGCTGCTGCTTCTGATGG T**-3' and 5'-GGG*GAA-TTC*TCATCTAGACC**TCTGCAGGGATTTGTA**-3' (coding sequences in bold; restriction sites in italic). The PCR product was digested with *Sal*I and *Eco*RI and inserted in pPILY (22) to obtain pHA-AKIN $\beta$ 2. A blunt-ended *Not*I fragment from pHA-AKIN $\beta$ 2 was cloned into the T4 DNA polymerasetreated *Sma*I and *Sac*I sites of pPCV812 (27) to construct pHA-AKIN $\beta$ 2-pPCV812.

To determine the frequency of co-transformation of *Arabidopsis* cells with two different *Agrobacterium* T-DNAs, the coding sequence of red fluorescent protein DsRed from *Discosoma* sp. was inserted downstream of a modified cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV 2×35S) promoter and translational enhancer sequences (G.Jach, personal communication) in a plant gene expression cassette, which was cloned as a *Hind*III fragment in the *Agrobacterium* binary vector pR97 (28). Binary vector pBI121, carrying a plant expression cassette with the coding domain of green fluorescent protein mGFP4, has been described by Haseloff *et al.* (29). The pPCV binary vector constructs were introduced into *Agrobacterium* GV3101 pPMP90RK, whereas the pR97 and pBI121 vectors were transformed into GV3101 pMP90 by electroporation as described (30).

## Cloning of AKIN $\beta$ 2 cDNA and its use in two-hybrid interaction tests with AKIN10 and AKIN11 baits

The last exon of the AKIN $\beta$ 2 sequence was PCR amplified using oligonucleotide primers PSA1 (5'-GACTATGTTCCT-GAAGACATTCAAAGCATAT-3') and PSA2 (5'-TCAC-CTCTGCAGGGATTTGTAGAGCACC-3') and genomic DNA from *A.thaliana* (Col-0) as template. The purified PCR product was used as probe to screen 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> bacterial colonies from a cDNA library constructed in pACT2 (16). Among 96 clones characterised by sequencing, a cDNA was identified which carried a deletion of the first nine codons of the AKIN $\beta$ 2 coding domain in fusion with the GAL4 activation domain in pACT2. This construct was transformed into yeast strain Y187 carrying either pAS2-AKIN10, pAS2-AKIN11, pAS2-SNF1 or pAS2-NPK5 as bait (16,31) to perform two-hybrid interaction assays as described (7).

# Co-transformation of *Arabidopsis* cell suspensions with two *Agrobacterium* strains

Culture media and conditions for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis cell suspensions were described previously (22). For co-transformation with two different gene constructs, both Agrobacterium strains were grown in selective YEB medium (27) to an  $OD_{660}$  of 0.8 (1 × 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml). The cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in plant cell culture medium (22) to obtain a suspension containing  $1 \times 10^{10}$ cells/ml. After mixing equal aliquots from both cultures, a maximum of  $1 \times 10^{10}$  Agrobacterium cells were used for infection of 50 ml of freshly subcultured Arabidopsis (Col-0) cell suspension as described (22). Arabidopsis cell line T87 (32) cultured in modified MS medium [4.7 g/l Murashige and Skoog medium (Sigma), 0.5 g/l MES, pH 5.7, 30% sucrose and 2.5 µM 1-naphtalene acetic acid] was similarly infected with Agrobacterium. After 3 days co-cultivation, either claforan (500 µg/ml; Hoechst) or a mixture of claforan (300 µg/ml) and tricarcillin/clavulanic acid (500 µg/ml; Duchefa) was added to arrest bacterial growth. Two days later the Arabidopsis cells were collected either to prepare protein extracts for immunoassays or to record the green and red fluorescence of mGFP4 and DsRed proteins, respectively, using epifluorescence and confocal laser scanning microscopy.

# Preparation of protein extracts and co-immunopurification assays

To prepare crude protein extracts, plant cells were collected by filtration using a Millipore holder system, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70°C. The cells were homogenised to a powder in liquid N<sub>2</sub> and resuspended in extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1% Igepal CA-630 and 20 µl/ml Sigma plant protease inhibitor mix). A cleared cell lysate was obtained by centrifugation (twice at 3000 g for 10 min at 4°C). Following the determination of protein concentration, the cleared lysate was subjected to immunoaffinity purification. The protein extract (1-2 mg) was supplemented with 150 mM NaCl and precleared with 50 µl of protein G-Sepharose (Sigma) (equilibrated in IPW buffer, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 0.1% Igepal CA-630). The pre-cleared lysate was incubated for at least 3 h at 4°C with 50 µl of affinity matrix carrying either mouse monoclonal anti-HA.11 IgG (clone 16B12; BAbCO) or anti-c-Myc IgG (clone 9E10; BAbCO). The matrix was placed on a 1 ml Mobicol (MobiTec) column and washed with a 100 bead volume (5 ml) of IPW buffer. The bound proteins were eluted by treating the beads twice with 1 bead volume (~50 µl) of either HA or c-Myc peptide solution (Genosys) (1 mg/ml in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) for 15 min at 30°C. The eluted proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and analysed by western blotting using Immobilon membrane (PVDF 0.45 µm; Millipore) as described (22). For immunodecoration, either a high affinity rat monoclonal anti-HA IgG (clone 3F10; Boehringer-Roche) or a mouse monoclonal anti-c-Myc IgG (clone 9E10; BAbCO) was used as primary antibody, followed by treatment with either peroxidaseconjugated anti-rat or anti-mouse IgG Fab fragment (Boehringer-Roche) and subsequent enhanced chemiluminescence detection (ECL; Pharmacia-Amersham).

#### Epifluorescence and confocal laser scanning microscopy

Fluorescence images were examined with a Zeiss Axiophot epifluorescence microscope equipped with fluorescence filter sets from AHF Analysentechnik (Tübingen, Germany). For DsRed a special filter was used (excitation HQ 545/30, dichroic mirror 565 LP and emission 600/40). GFP fluorescence was detected with an RS-GFP filter (excitation HQ 480/20, dichroic mirror 495 LP and emission HQ 510/20). A Leica TCS SP confocal laser scanning microscope (Heidelberg, Germany) fitted to a spectrophotometer for emission band wavelength selection was used with two laser sources (i.e. an argon ion laser emitting at 488 nm and a helium-neon laser emitting at 543 nm) to excite GFP and DsRed, respectively. For visualisation of GFP, the emission window was set at 495-535 nm, whereas DsRed was detected at an emission window of 570-660 nm. The double immunolabelled sections were studied by sequential excitation with each laser separately to avoid chlorophyll fluorescence in the red channel. The confocal image stacks were combined as *x*–*y* projection images.

## RESULTS

# Construction of vectors with intron-tagged HA and c-Myc epitope coding domains

To develop a co-immunopurification assay for detection of interacting proteins labelled with different epitopes in Agrobacterium-transformed plant cells, an intron from the potato gene ST-LS1 (23,24) was inserted in the coding domains of HA and c-Myc epitopes. This was necessary to eliminate artificial expression of epitope-tagged plant proteins in Agrobacterium, which could interfere with specific detection of epitope-labelled proteins in transformed plant cells. The construction of plant expression vectors pPILY and pMENCHU, carrying intron-tagged HA epitope coding domains, has been previously described (22). Using a similar approach, a set of vectors carrying intron-tagged c-Myc epitope coding domains were constructed to facilitate the labelling of plant proteins with either N- or C-terminal c-Myc peptide tags (see Materials and Methods). In the c-Myc epitope fusion vectors (Fig. 1) the polylinker sequences located 5'-upstream of the c-Myc coding domains are identical, but the cloning sites located 3'-downstream of the c-Myc epitope sequences are different. Plasmid pLOLA and pGIGI are compatible with pPILY and pMENCHU (22), respectively, allowing a fusion of protein coding sequences with HA and c-Myc epitopes using the same reading frames. Vector pMESHI provides a different reading frame to generate alternative translational fusions. Plasmid pGIGI is a derivative of pMESHI, which contains a BamHI site for generation of inframe fusions with cDNAs derived from the yeast two-hybrid vectors pACT2 and pAS2 (Fig. 1). Plant gene expression cassettes in all HA and c-Myc epitope vectors carry a CaMV 35S promoter with a duplicated enhancer domain and 3' transcription terminator sequences from the nopaline synthase gene. The plant gene expression cassettes are flanked by



**Figure 1.** Schematic map of intron-tagged c-Myc epitope vectors. The positions of restriction endonuclease cleavage sites are shown in parentheses. Functional elements of the plant gene expression cassette are highlighted by arrowheaded and striped boxes. The lower section shows polylinker sequences flanking the c-Myc epitope coding region which is interrupted by intron IV2 of the potato *ST-LS1* gene. A *Bam*HI site in pGIGI facilitates subcloning of cDNA sequences from the yeast two-hybrid vector pACT2 in-frame with the c-Myc epitope coding sequence. Polylinker sequences flanking the coding region of the c-Myc epitope in pLOLA and pGIGI are identical to those which flank the intron-tagged HA epitopes in the previously described vectors pPILY and pMENCHU (22), respectively. Amp, ampicillin resistance gene; f1 Ori and OriC, f1 phage and ColE1 plasmid replication origins, respectively; 35S, duplicated enhancer regions in the promoter of cauliflower mosaic virus 35S RNA gene (CaMV35S).

unique *Not*I sites to facilitate further cloning of epitope-tagged constructs in *Agrobacterium* binary vectors for plant transformation.

### Optimisation of co-expression of HA and c-Myc epitopetagged proteins in *Arabidopsis* cells by *Agrobacterium*mediated transformation

To optimise the conditions for detection of c-Myc epitopetagged proteins expressed in *Agrobacterium*-transformed plant cells, a  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (GUS) reporter protein was labelled with an N-terminal c-Myc epitope. A *uidA/gusA* coding sequence was inserted in pLOLA, then the plant gene expression cassette was moved into the *Agrobacterium* binary vector pPCV002 (26) and transformed into *Arabidopsis* cells as described (22). The amount of bacterial cells used for transformation was adjusted such that on average 80% of infected *Arabidopsis* cells showed staining with the histochemical GUS substrate X-Gluc 5 days after infection. From the transformed cells protein extracts were prepared, separated by SDS–PAGE and subjected to western blotting with different commercially



**Figure 2.** Western blotting and immunoprecipitation of myc–GUS reporter protein with monoclonal anti-c-Myc antibodies. Protein extracts (50 µg) prepared from wild-type and myc–GUS-expressing *Arabidopsis* cell lines were separated by SDS–PAGE and immunoblotted using a monoclonal anti-c-Myc antibody (left). An asterisk indicates non-specific cross-reaction of the antibody with a protein of 100 kDa present in both control wild-type and transformed cells. The protein extracts (1 mg) were immunaffinity purified on c-Myc IgG beads. The matrix-bound proteins were eluted with c-Myc peptide, separated by SDS–PAGE and subjected to western blotting with a monoclonal anti-c-Myc antibody (right).

available anti-c-Myc antibodies. In crude protein extracts prepared from control non-transformed *Arabidopsis* cells all anti-c-Myc IgGs tested in western blotting (including a BAbCO IgG from clone 9E10, which provided the highest sensitivity of detection) showed a non-specific cross-reaction with a protein of 100 kDa (Fig. 2, TOTAL). Nonetheless, the anti-c-Myc antibodies specifically detected a myc–GUS reporter protein with the expected molecular mass. Unlike western blotting, preclearing of protein extracts with protein G– Sepharose followed by immunoprecipitation with immobilised anti-c-Myc IgG resulted in specific detection of the myc–GUS protein, providing a reliable tool for development of a coimmunopurification assay (Fig. 2, IP).

To simultaneously express two different proteins in plant cells, the conditions for co-transformation were optimised using Agrobacterium strains which carried reporter gene constructs coding for the Discosoma red fluorescent protein DsRed in pR97 (28) and the green fluorescent protein mGFP4 in pBI121 (29). Titration of the inoculum size by infection of 50 ml aliquots of Arabidopsis cell cultures during the logarithmic growth phase indicated that the cultures could tolerate a maximum of  $1 \times 10^{10}$  Agrobacterium cells without losing their viability (data not shown). Using a total of  $1 \times 10^{10}$  bacterial cells for infection, the transformation frequencies ranged between 70 and 80% with a single Agrobacterium strain, whereas double infections with two strains yielded only 40-50% transformed Arabidopsis cells which displayed expression of either green or red fluorescent protein as visualised by epifluorescence microscopy (data not shown). To measure the cotransformation frequency, the proportion of cells showing both green (GFP) and red (DsRed) fluorescence was determined using confocal laser scanning microscopy. Sequential recording of green, red and merged yellow (GFP + DsRed) images provided a precise count for single and double transformed cells (Fig. 3). On average, 55% of cells growing in aggregates (i.e. microcalli) displayed both GFP and DsRed



Figure 3. Optimisation of co-transformation using green and red fluorescent reporter proteins. *Arabidopsis* cell suspensions were co-transformed with *Agrobacterium* strains carrying mGFP4 and DsRed expression vectors. The number of cells showing green, red and both green and red fluorescence was determined 5 days after transformation by confocal laser scanning microscopy. Images from the same sections were sequentially examined for GFP and DsRed fluorescence. (A) Cells expressing green mGFP4; (B) red DsRed expressing cells; (C) merged images.

emission, indicating that it is feasible to use *Agrobacterium*mediated co-transformation for expression of two different proteins in cultured *Arabidopsis* cells.

# AKIN $\beta$ 2, an ortholog of the Sip2 $\beta$ -subunit of yeast Snf1 kinase, forms different complexes with *Arabidopsis* SnRK $\alpha$ -subunits AKIN10 and AKIN11 *in vivo*

To identify potential orthologs of Snf1/AMPK  $\beta$ -subunits, different domains of yeast Sip2 protein were used to search for



Figure 4. Quantitative measurement of two-hybrid interactions of AKINβ2 with different SnRK1  $\alpha$ -subunits using a  $\beta$ -galactosidase assay. Yeast strain 187 was co-transformed with a pACT2-AKINβ2 prey and either pAS2-AKIN10, pAS2-AKIN11, pAS2-NPK5 or pAS2-SNF1 as bait. Yeast strains carrying the baits AKIN10 and AKIN11 alone were used as self-activation controls. Three colonies selected on minimal SD medium lacking tryptophan and leucine were grown independently in liquid SD medium to mid exponential phase, then the cells were collected for determination of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity. Bars indicate standard deviations.

homologous sequences in the Arabidopsis database using the TBLAST program. The best hit identified a gene (accession no. gb:ATFCA6, chromosome 4, ESSA I contig fragment no. 6) which coded for a protein showing partial homology to conserved kinase-interacting sequences (i.e. KIS domains) of β-subunits of yeast Snf1 and animal AMPKs. The same gene was recently identified as encoding a putative SnRK subunit designated AKINB2 (17). A cDNA encoding AKINB2 in fusion with the Gal4 activation domain was isolated from a cDNA library made in the yeast two-hybrid vector pACT2 (16) using a specific probe obtained by PCR amplification of the last exon. This cDNA clone was used as prey in the yeast strain Y187 to test for interaction of AKINβ2 with the Arabidopsis SnRK1 α-subunits AKIN10 and AKIN11 used as baits in twohybrid assays. Quantitative measurement of  $\beta$ -galactosidase enzyme levels, reflecting activation of a LacZ reporter gene by the bait-prey interactions, suggested that AKINB2 is a putative binding partner for both AKIN10 and AKIN11 (Fig. 4). The specificity of observed two-hybrid interactions was controlled using distantly related SnRK1  $\alpha$ -subunits, such as yeast Snf1 and tobacco NPK5, self-activation tests with yeast strains carrying the AKIN10 and AKIN11 baits alone and interaction assays with several unrelated preys, including pVA3 and pLAM (Clontech) (data not shown).

To verify the results of two-hybrid interactions, AKIN $\beta$ 2 was co-expressed with either AKIN10 or AKIN11 in *Arabidopsis* cells using the *Agrobacterium*-mediated co-transformation method described above. AKIN10 and AKIN11 were labelled with N- and C-terminal c-Myc epitopes, respectively, whereas the N-terminus of AKIN $\beta$ 2 was fused to a HA epitope as described (see Materials and Methods). First, the epitopetagged constructs were independently transformed into *Arabidopsis* cells to evaluate the expression level and stability of



**Figure 5.** Expression of epitope-tagged SnRK subunits in transformed *Arabidopsis* cell lines. (A) Protein extracts (50 µg) were prepared from *Arabidopsis* cells expressing myc–AKIN10 and AKIN11–myc, separated by SDS–PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-c-Myc antibody. (B) Immunoblotting of protein extracts from a cell line expressing the HA–AKINβ2 protein using an anti-HA antibody. Control protein samples prepared from a non-transformed *Arabidopsis* cell line were used to monitor the specificity of antibodies. An asterisk in (A) indicates non-specific cross-reaction of the anti-c-Myc antibody.

modified AKIN10, AKIN11 and AKINβ2 proteins. Following 5 days of Agrobacterium infection, protein extracts were prepared from the transformed cells, resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted using monoclonal anti-HA and anti-c-Myc antibodies. Both c-Myc-tagged AKIN10 and AKIN11 proteins (both 61 kDa) were detected at apparently low levels (as compared to an HA epitope-tagged GUS reporter protein; data not shown). As noted earlier, western blotting revealed a nonspecific cross-reaction of monoclonal anti-c-Myc antibody with a protein of 100 kDa in all samples prepared from transformed and non-transformed Arabidopsis cells. Intriguingly, AKIN11-myc was typically detected as a doublet, suggesting post-translational modification or specific degradation of this protein (Fig. 5A). In comparison to myc-AKIN10 and AKIN11-myc, HA-AKINβ2 was produced at an apparently higher level, but appeared to be less stable because, in addition to its intact form, some degradation products were also detected with the anti-HA antibody (Fig. 5B). These characteristics observed by immunoblotting of epitope-tagged proteins did not change when HA-AKINB2 was co-expressed with either myc-AKIN10 or AKIN11-myc (Fig. 6A). The apparent



**Figure 6.** AKINβ2 is detected in different protein complexes with SnRK αsubunits AKIN10 and AKIN11 *in vivo. Arabidopsis* cell suspensions were transformed with *Agrobacterium* strains to express myc–AKIN10, AKIN11–myc and HA–AKINβ2 separately. In co-transformation experiments, HA–AKINβ2 was expressed together with either myc–AKIN10 or AKIN11–myc. (A) Immunoblotting of protein extracts (50 µg) from cell lines co-expressing HA–AKINβ2 with c-Myc-tagged SnRK α-subunits using anti-c-Myc and anti-HA antibodies. (B) From each single and double transformed cell line 1 mg protein extract was subjected to immunoaffinity purification on either an anti-HA IgG or anti-c-Myc IgG matrix. Proteins eluted from the anti-HA IgG and anti-c-Myc IgG matrices using HA and c-Myc peptides, respectively, were immunoblotted and detected with anti-HA and anti-c-Myc anibodies. The symbols + and – indicate the presence or absence of constructs in the transformation assays. IP and ID refer to the antibodies used for immunoprecipitation (IP) and immunodetection (ID), respectively.

reduction in the intensity of the bands with respect to the single transformations probably reflects a reduced number of transformed cells when performing co-transformation experiments.

To examine whether HA–AKIN $\beta$ 2 occurred in common complexes with the c-Myc epitope-tagged SnRK  $\alpha$ -subunits, protein extracts were prepared from control non-transformed cells and cultures transformed separately with each construct, as well as from cells co-transformed with HA–AKIN $\beta$ 2 and either myc–AKIN10 or AKIN11–myc. The extracts were subjected to immunaffinity purification on immobilised anti-HA and anti-c-Myc IgG matrices. Proteins eluted from the anti-HA and anti-c-Myc IgG matrices by HA and c-Myc peptides, respectively, were immunoblotted with anti-HA and anti-c-Myc antibodies (Fig. 6B). HA–AKIN $\beta$ 2 was detected by the anti-HA antibody after immunaffinity binding of both myc–AKIN10 and AKIN11–myc. Interestingly, only fulllength HA–AKIN $\beta$ 2 was recovered in complex with the immunopurified SnRK  $\alpha$ -subunits, suggesting a lack of interaction of C-terminally truncated AKIN $\beta$ 2 derivatives with myc–AKIN10 and AKIN11–myc. Similarly, both c-Myctagged SnRK  $\alpha$ -subunits were detected in association with HA–AKIN $\beta$ 2 after immunoaffinity purification on anti-HA IgG. The specificity of these protein interactions was corroborated by control co-transformation experiments which revealed no interaction of HA–AKIN $\beta$ 2 with an affinity purified myc–GUS protein (data not shown). Thus, these data demonstrated that AKIN $\beta$ 2 is a  $\beta$ -subunit of two different SnRK protein kinase complexes in *Arabidopsis*.

## DISCUSSION

Recent annotation and comparative sequence analysis of the Arabidopsis genome reveals an unexpectedly high level of redundancy. A comparison of the chromosome 2 and 4 sequences indicates that ~75% of all predicted gene products show a significant similarity to another protein encoded elsewhere in the genome (1). A typical example of this potential functional redundancy is provided by components of the SnRK family in Arabidopsis. Until completion of the genome sequence, two Arabidopsis genes coding for SnRK catalytic  $\alpha$ -subunits had been identified and demonstrated to complement the corresponding yeast snfl mutation (16). Similarly, two different genes were identified to code for putative homologs of Snf1/AMPK y-subunits based on sequence homology searches and yeast two-hybrid interaction studies (17,19). In addition, two different proteins showing domain homology to  $\beta$ -subunits of yeast Snf1 (Sip/Gal83 family) and animal AMPKs were identified and tested for interaction with an Arabidopsis SnRK  $\alpha$ -subunit in the two-hybrid system (17). However, our survey of the completed Arabidopsis genome sequence indicates the presence of additional genes coding for putative SnRK subunits. Using the coding sequence of AKIN $\beta$ 2 in a BLASTP search, in addition to AKIN $\beta$ 1 we have identified five sequences (accession nos At2g28060, AT3g01510, At1g27070, AT5g39790 and AT3g52180) which show an overall similarity and significant domain homology to members of the yeast Sip protein family (P values of 1.3e<sup>-20</sup>, 4.8e<sup>-08</sup>, 4.8e<sup>-06</sup>, 8.4e<sup>-04</sup> and 2.3e<sup>-02</sup>, respectively). A similar BLASTP search with the coding region of AKIN10 reveals a new member of the highly conserved  $\alpha$ -subunit gene family (16) (AT5g39440,  $P = 2.9e^{-196}$ ), as well as three additional genes for predicted proteins (AT4g24400, AT5g25110 and AT5g10930, P values of 3.7e<sup>-74</sup>, 2.0e<sup>-71</sup> and 1.4e<sup>-70</sup>, respectively) showing significant homology to the regulatory domains of AKIN10 and AKIN11 catalytic  $\alpha$ -subunits. As conserved sequences of regulatory domains of  $\alpha$ -subunits are used as characteristic modules to identify members of the SnRK1 subfamily, it is likely that these proteins represent novel SnRK1 α-subunits. This surprising redundancy of genes coding for putative SnRK1 subunits suggests that many alternative kinase complexes could be formed by combinations of different subunits in plants. Determination of the subunit composition of SnRK complexes thus represents a demanding task for future studies.

Among the currently available techniques, the yeast twohybrid system offers a simple and reliable means for sorting of protein interactions. Nonetheless, the results of two-hybrid interaction assays often require confirmation. Therefore, control *in vitro* protein binding studies are usually performed with recombinant proteins in combination with [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine labelling of their binding partners in various coupled transcription–translation systems. In these *in vitro* binding assays the interacting proteins are assembled in heterologous systems which lack potentially necessary endogenous factors (such as other subunits of a protein complex) and post-translational modifications. These evident problems call for development of new protein interaction assays which facilitate the characterisation of protein complexes *in vivo*.

With the aim of detecting in vivo protein interactions between subunits of SnRK protein kinases in plant cells, we have developed a simple co-immunopurification assay based on a previously described intron-tagged epitope-labelling technique and efficient transformation of Arabidopsis cell suspensions by Agrobacterium. In our previous studies we have exploited an intron-tagged HA epitope coding domain to affinity purify proteins and determine their localisation in plant cells using either transient expression or stable transformation (22). In the present work we have optimised the application of another epitope in order to establish a reliable assay for monitoring the interaction of plant proteins labelled with HA and c-Myc epitopes in Arabidopsis cells. We used this technique to determine whether a recently described putative Arabidopsis SnRK B-subunit (AKINB2: 17) occurs in vivo in complexes with two previously characterised different  $\alpha$ -subunits, AKIN10 and AKIN11 (16). We have observed that both AKIN10 and AKIN11 interact with the putative AKINβ2 regulatory subunit in the two-hybrid system. To verify the results of two-hybrid assays, AKINB2 was labelled with an HA epitope and co-expressed with c-Myc epitope-labelled AKIN10 and AKIN11 proteins in Arabidopsis cells. A considerable difference was noted between the expression levels of c-Myc-tagged  $\alpha$ -subunits and HA-tagged AKIN $\beta$ 2 protein by immunoblotting. However, this observation must be treated with necessary caution due to likely differences in the sensitivity and specificity of anti-HA and anti-c-Myc antibodies. Whereas western blotting suggested apparently low level expression, both myc-AKIN10 and AKIN11-myc proteins were isolated in association with comparable amounts of HA–AKINβ2 protein using immunoaffinity binding to anti-HA and anti-c-Myc IgG beads.

Recent studies suggest that formation of different Snf1 kinase enzyme complexes with the Sip/Gal83  $\beta$ -subunits may account for specific targeting of the Snf1 kinase to different substrates in yeast (13). Using the *in planta* protein interaction assay presented here, it is feasible now to label as pair-wise combinations all putative subunits of plant SnRK1 kinases with epitope tags. In addition to immunoaffinity purification of SnRK complexes, this approach could help to decipher the combinations of different subunits and determine their role in the recognition of different substrates and SnRK-binding signalling proteins (16). Although our present results are confined to suspension cultured cells, the described epitopetagged constructs can also be used for generation of transgenic plants to facilitate the identification of multiprotein complexes that may show different subunit compositions in diverse cell types and phases of plant development.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge Elmon Schmelzer (Central Microscopy Service, MPIZ, Köln, Germany) for his help with fluorescence microscopy and Monica Pons (Servei de Microscòpia Confocal, IBMB-CSIC, Barcelona, Spain) for her excellent technical assistance in the confocal analysis. Special thanks are due to Pablo Leivar Rico and Albert Boronat (Facultad Quimicas, Universidad Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain) for providing support and facilities to perform the co-transformation experiments with *Arabidopsis* cell line T87. This work was supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (KO1483/ 3-2) and HSFP (RG0162/2000-M). A.F.T. acknowledges support from CICYT-BIO-99-453.

#### REFERENCES

- The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative (2000) Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature*, 408, 796–815.
- Pandey, A. and Mann, M. (2000) Proteomics to study genes and genomes. *Nature*, 405, 837–846.
- Wisman, E. and Ohlrogge, J. (2000) Arabidopsis microarray service facilities. Plant Physiol., 124, 1468–1471.
- Fields, S. and Song, O.K. (1989) A novel genetic system to detect proteinprotein interactions. *Nature*, 340, 245–246.
- Fields, S. and Sternglanz, R. (1994) The two-hybrid system: an assay for protein-protein interactions. *Trends Genet.*, 10, 286–292.
- Hardie,D.G., Carling,D. and Carlson,M. (1998) The AMP-activated/ SNF1 protein kinase subfamily: metabolic sensors of the eukaryotic cell? *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 67, 821–855.
- Jiang, R. and Carlson, M. (1996) Glucose regulates protein interactions within the yeast Snf1 protein kinase complex. *Genes Dev.*, 10, 3105–3115.
- Carlson,M. (1999) Glucose repression in yeast. Curr. Opin. Microbiol., 2, 202–207.
- Kemp,B.E., Mitchelhill,K.I., Stapleton,D., Michell,B.J., Chen,Z.-P. and Witters,L.A. (1999) Dealing with energy demand: the AMP-activated protein kinase. *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 24, 22–25.
- Jiang, R. and Carlson, M. (1997) The Snf1 protein kinase and its activating subunit, Snf4, interact with distinct domains of the Sip1/Sip2/Gal83 component in the kinase complex. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 17, 2099–2106.
- Dyck, J.R.B., Gao, G., Widmer, J., Stapleton, D., Fernandez, C.S., Kemp, B.E. and Witters, L.A. (1996) Regulation of 5'-AMP-activated protein kinase activity by the noncatalytic β and γ subunits. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **271**, 17798–17803.
- Thornton,C., Snowden,M.A. and Carling,D. (1998) Identification of a novel AMP-activated protein kinase β subunit isoform that is highly expressed in skeletal muscle. J. Biol. Chem., 273, 12443–12450.
- Schmidt,M.C. and McCartney,R.R. (2000) β-Subunits of Snf1 kinase are required for kinase function and substrate definition. *EMBO J.*, **19**, 4936–4943.
- Alderson, A., Sabelli, P.A., Dickinson, J.R., Cole, D., Richardson, M., Kreis, M., Shewry, P.R. and Halford, N.G. (1991) Complementation of *snf1*, a mutation affecting global regulation of carbon metabolism in yeast, by a plant protein kinase cDNA. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 88, 8602–8605.
- Muranaka, T., Banno, H. and Machida, Y. (1994) Characterization of tobacco protein kinase NPK5, a homolog of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Snf1 that constitutively activates expression of the glucose-repressible *SUC2* gene for a secreted invertase of *S. cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 14, 2958–2965.
- Bhalerao, R.P., Salchert, K., Bakó, L., Ökrész, L., Szabados, L., Murakana, T., Machida, Y., Schell, J. and Koncz, C. (1999) Regulatory interaction of PRL1 WD protein with *Arabidopsis* SNF-like protein kinases. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 96, 5322–5327.
- Bouly,J.-P., Gissot,L., Lessard,P., Kreis,M. and Thomas,M. (1999) *Arabidopsis thaliana* proteins related to the yeast SIP and SNF4 interact with AKINα1, an SNF1-like protein kinase. *Plant J.*, 18, 541–550.
- Lakatos,L., Klein,M., Höfgen,R. and Bánfalvi,Z. (1999) Potato StubSNF1 interacts with StubGAL83: a plant protein kinase complex with yeast and mammalian counterparts. *Plant J.*, 17, 569–574.

- Kleinow, T., Bhalerao, R., Breuer, F., Umeda, M., Salchert, K. and Koncz, C. (2000) Functional identification of an *Arabidopsis* Snf4 ortholog by screening for heterologous multicopy suppressors of *snf4* deficiency in yeast. *Plant J.*, 23, 115–122.
- Lumbreras, V., Albá, M., Kleinow, T., Koncz, C. and Pagés, M. (2000) Domain fusion between SNF1-related kinase subunits during plant evolution. *EMBO Rep.*, 2, 55–60.
- 21. Halford, N.G. and Hardie, D.G. (1998) SNF1-related protein kinases: global regulators of carbon metabolism in plants? *Plant Mol. Biol.*, **37**, 735–748.
- Ferrando, A., Farràs, R., Jasik, J., Schell, J. and Koncz, C. (2000) Introntagged epitope: a tool for facile detection and purification of proteins expressed in *Agrobacterium*-transformed plant cells. *Plant J.*, 22, 1–8.
- Vancanneyt,G., Schmidt,R., O'Connor-Sanchez,A., Willmitzer,L. and Rocha-Sosa,M. (1990) Construction of an intron-containing marker gene: splicing of the intron in transgenic plants and its use in monitoring early events in *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation. *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, 220, 245–250.
- Eckes, P., Rosahl, S., Schell, J. and Willmitzer, L. (1986) Isolation and characterization of a light-inducible, organ-specific gene from potato and analysis of its expression after tagging and transfer into tobacco and potato shoots. *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, 205, 14–22.
- Hancock,K.R., Phillips,L.D., White,D.W.R. and Ealing,P.M. (1997) pPE1000: a versatile vector for the expression of epitope tagged foreign proteins in transgenic plants. *Biotechniques*, 22, 861–865.
- Koncz,C. and Schell,J. (1986) The promoter of T<sub>L</sub>-DNA gene 5 controls the tissue specific expression of chimaeric genes carried by a novel type of *Agrobacterium* binary vector. *Mol. Gene. Genet.*, **204**, 383–396.

- Koncz, C., Martini, N., Szabados, L., Hrouda, M., Bachmair, A. and Schell, J. (1994) Specialized vectors for gene tagging and expression studies. In Gelvin, S.B., Schilperoort, R.A. and Verma, D.P.S. (eds), *Plant Molecular Biology Manual*. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, Vol. B2, pp. 1–22.
- Szabados, L., Charrier, D., Kondorosi, A., de Bruijn, F.J. and Ratet, P. (1995) New plant promoter and enhancer testing vectors. *Mol. Breeding*, 1, 419–423.
- 29. Haseloff, J., Siemering, K.R., Prasher, D.C. and Hodge, S. (1997) Removal of a cryptic intron and subcellular localization of green fluorescent protein are required to mark transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants brightly. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **94**, 2122–2127.
- Maing,G.D., Reynolds,S. and Gartland,J.S. (1995) Electroporation protocols for *Agrobacterium*. In Gartland,K.M.A and Davey,M.R. (eds), *Methods in Molecular Biology: Agrobacterium Protocols*. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, Vol. 44, pp. 405–412.
- 31. Németh, K., Salchert, K., Putnoky, P., Bhalerao, R., Koncz-Kálmán, Z., Stankovic-Stangeland, B., Bakó, L., Mathur, J., Ökrész, L., Stabel, S., Geigenberger, P., Stitt, M., Rédei, G.P., Schell, J. and Koncz, C. (1998) Pleiotropic control of glucose and hormone responses by PRL1, a nuclear WD protein, in *Arabidopsis. Genes Dev.*, **12**, 3959–3073.
- Axelos, M., Curie, C., Mazzolini, L., Bardet, C. and Lescure, B. (1992) A protocol for transient gene expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* protoplasts isolated from cell suspension cultures. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 30, 123–128.