

1.

Consider the following equations

$$\text{i) } u_i = Y_i - \beta_1 - \beta_2 X_i$$

$$\text{ii) } Y_i = b_1 + \hat{b}_2 X_i + \hat{u}_i$$

$$\text{iii) } \hat{Y}_i = \hat{b}_1 + \hat{b}_2 X_i + u_i$$

where  $\hat{\phantom{x}}$  indicates an estimate, otherwise the variable (and/or coefficient) is the true value

a) Say, with reason, whether each equation is true or false.

(6 marks)

b) What are the 5 main assumptions that underlie the OLS estimation technique?

(10 marks)

c) What do you understand by the terms

i) Bias of an estimator

ii) the efficiency of an estimator

iii) the Gauss-Markov property of an OLS estimate.

(9 marks)

**2. Given the following regression output**

$$\hat{Investment} = 20000 - 1.0 * Interest\_rates$$

$$R^2=0.90 \quad TSS = 1000 \quad ESS = 885 \quad Var(Interest\_rate)=0.8$$

estimated over the period 1980-2004

i) Using the information above, find the standard error on the estimate of interest\_rates in the model

(6 marks)

ii) Test the null hypothesis that the true effect of interest rates on investment is zero

(4 marks)

iv) what is the 95% confidence interval around this estimate?

(3 marks)

v) What do you understand by the terms Size of a Test, Type I and Type II error?

(9 marks)

vi) What is the approximate p value for the null hypothesis that the true coefficient on interest rates is zero?

(3 marks)

3. Interpret the meaning of the OLS estimates of the slope in each of the following time series regressions of consumption on income, (where both consumption and income are measured in £million). Some of the regression output has been hidden.

i) `reg cons income`

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 45		
Model	12000.0					
Residual	3000.0			R-squared = 0.80		
Total	15000.0			Adj R-squared = 0.82		
				Root MSE =		
cons	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
income	.9172948	.0118722	77.26	0.000	.8933523	.9412372
_cons	13.49616	4.025455	3.35	0.002	5.378055	21.61426

(2 marks)

. `reg LnCons LnIncome`

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 45		
Model	4.95869491		4.95869491	F( , ) = 8575.43		
Residual	.024864517		.000578245	Prob > F = 0.0000		
Total	4.98355943		.113262714	R-squared = 0.9950		
				Adj R-squared = 0.9949		
				Root MSE = .02405		
LnCons	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
LnIncome	.9448621	.0102033	92.60	0.000	.9242852	.965439
_cons	.2790579	.0583503	4.78	0.000	.1613834	.3967325

(2 marks)

iii) `reg LnCons income`

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 45		
Model	4.86481426		4.86481426	F( , ) = 1761.65		
Residual	.118745167		.002761516	Prob > F = 0.00		
Total	4.98355943		.113262714	R-squared = 0.97		
				Adj R-squared = 0.97		
				Root MSE = .052		
LnCons	Coef.	Std.8Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
income	.0029489	.0000703	41.97	0.000	.0028072	.0030906
_cons	4.728025	.0238226	198.47	0.000	4.679983	4.776068

(2 marks)

b) Test the null hypothesis that the model as a whole in specification (i) has no explanatory power.

(4 marks)

c) Suppose specification (i) is estimated over 35 time periods (rather than the original 45) with the following results

```
. reg cons income if year<90
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 35		
Model	157400.0			F( , ) =		
Residual	1000.0			Prob > F =	0.0000	
-----				R-squared =		
Total	158400.0			Adj R-squared =		
-----				Root MSE =		
cons	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
income	.9467358	.0167604	56.49	0.000	.9126366	.9808351
_cons	6.366222	4.704141	1.35	0.185	-3.204424	15.93687

Do the Chow forecast test of the hypothesis that the OLS coefficients estimated over the shorter period predict well out of sample.

(6 marks)

d) Show that the  $R^2$  is equal to the square of the correlation coefficient between the actual and predicted values of  $y$ , ie  $R^2 = \text{corr}(y, \hat{y})^2$  where

$$\text{corr}(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(y, \hat{y})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(y) * \text{Var}(\hat{y})}}$$

(9 marks)

4. The following output is taken from regressions of a) the annual employment growth rate (measured in % points) b) the log of annual employment growth rate on annual gdp growth rate (measured in % points) using a sample of 20 countries

a) reg empl gdp

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 16		
Model	8.31647759	1	8.31647759	F( 1, 14)	=	25.56
Residual	5.85581708	14	.325323171	Prob > F	=	0.0001
-----				R-squared	=	0.5868
-----				Adj R-squared	=	0.5639
Total	14.1722947	15	.745910246	Root MSE	=	.57037
-----						
empl	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----						
gdp	.3970308	.0785257	5.06	0.000	.2320544	.5620073
_cons	-.1091787	.272871	-0.40	0.694	-.6824595	.464102

b) reg lnempl gdp

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 16		
Model	6.92550263	1	6.92550263	F( 1, 14)	=	5.71
Residual	21.8251244	14	1.21250691	Prob > F	=	0.0280
-----				R-squared	=	0.2409
-----				Adj R-squared	=	0.1987
Total	28.750627	15	1.5131909	Root MSE	=	1.1011
-----						
lnempl	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----						
gdp	.3623099	.1515991	2.39	0.028	.043812	.6808078
_cons	-1.467879	.5267954	-2.79	0.012	-2.574635	-.3611229

i) Interpret the estimated effect of gdp in both regressions  
(4 marks)

ii) Write down the equations needed to calculate a) the arithmetic mean b) the geometric mean  
(4 marks)

iii) The following is output from regressions of employment growth and the log of employment growth divided by the geometric mean, (empladj and lnempladj respectively). Do the Box-Cox test of the null hypothesis that the RSS from the two regressions are the same. Which specification do you prefer?

(4 marks)

. reg empladj gdp

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 16		
Model	16.2996276	1	16.2996276	F( 1, 14)	=	23.25
Residual	9.81551482	14	.701108202	Prob > F	=	0.0003
-----				R-squared	=	0.6241
-----				Adj R-squared	=	0.5973
Total	26.1151424	15	1.7410095	Root MSE	=	.83732
-----						
empladj	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----						
gdp	.5962491	.1236606	4.82	0.000	.3310234	.8614747
_cons	-.3921257	.450953	-0.87	0.399	-1.359324	.5750723

```
. reg lempladj gdp
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 16		
Model	7.77622121	1	7.77622121	F( 1, 14)	=	5.73
Residual	19.0038339	14	1.35741671	Prob > F	=	0.0313
-----				R-squared	=	0.2904
Total	28.7500552	15	1.78533701	Adj R-squared	=	0.2397
-----				Root MSE	=	1.1651
lempadj	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
gdp	.4118349	.1720662	2.39	0.031	.0427897	.7808801
_cons	-1.448807	.6274735	-2.31	0.037	-2.794603	-.1030099

iv) The following output is taken from the distribution of OLS residuals from the two regressions, resa and resb respectively

```
. su resa, det
```

Residuals				
Percentiles	Smallest			
1%	-.8867749	-.8867749	Obs	16
5%	-.800374	-.7139732	Sum of Wgt.	16
10%	-.6933984	-.6728235	Mean	-2.00e-09
25%	-.4225267	-.6685373	Std. Dev.	.5551584
50%	-.0230367			
	Largest			
75%	.471398	.5822049	Variance	.3082009
90%	.7580767	.6624828	Skewness	.600000
95%	.8717977	.8536705	Kurtosis	1.200000
99%	.8899248	.8899248		

```
. su resb, det
```

Residuals				
Percentiles	Smallest			
1%	-3.17601	-3.17601	Obs	16
5%	-2.60786	-2.039709	Sum of Wgt.	16
10%	-1.345669	-.6516281	Mean	1.12e-08
25%	-.4761055	-.6334555	Std. Dev.	1.07177
50%	.3739764			
	Largest			
75%	.7360109	.8852508	Variance	1.148691
90%	.9456291	.8893937	Skewness	-3.000000
95%	1.032405	1.001864	Kurtosis	6.000000
99%	1.062945	1.062945		

**What is skewness?  
(2 marks)**

**What is kurtosis?  
(2 marks)**

**Do the Jarque-Bera test for normality in the OLS residuals for both equations.  
Which model do you prefer?  
(6 marks)**

**v) If a model fails this test what does this mean for OLS estimation?  
(3 marks)**

**END OF TEST**