4.8 Quantum phase transitions

- "Regular" phase transition driven by thermal fluctuations
 - These fluctuations diverge at the critical point
 - Control the passage through the transition by varying the temperature
- "Quantum" phase transition driven by quantum fluctuations
 - This is a transition at T = 0
 - Pass through the transition by varying a *control parameter* (eg pressure, conc)
 - Quantum fluctuations diverge at the Quantum Critical Point (2nd order) Transition between different ground states



4.8.2 Transverse Ising model

- At B = 0 have a conventional CP with a $T_{\rm c} \sim 1.55$ K.
- At T = 0 have a QCP with a $B_c \sim 5$ T.
- This is an Ising system: $\mathcal{H}_{I} \sim \sum S_{z}^{i} S_{z}^{j}$
- Control parameter is a *transverse* field B_{r}

$$\mathcal{H} = -2\hbar J \sum_{ij} S_z^i S_z^j - \gamma \hbar B_x \sum_{ij}^{i} S_z^j - \gamma \hbar B_x \sum_{i}^{i} S_z^j - \gamma \hbar B_x \sum_{ij}^{i} S_x \sum_{ij}^{i} S_x$$

Statistical Mechanics





- At $B_r = 0$ have conventional Ising model — interaction favours parallel spins — ground state is a ferromagnet
- Application of transverse field induces transitions between \uparrow and \downarrow spins - because $[\mathcal{H}, S_7^i] \neq 0$. (Whereas $[\mathcal{H}_I, S_7^i] = 0$.)
- So transverse field \implies fluctuations in $M_{_7}$ of the Ising ordered phase
- Sufficiently large B_{χ} will kill M_{γ} will destroy the Ising ground state.
- So B_{r} will cause a transition to a different ground state.
- B_{r} is the control parameter.
- The ground state is changed by the control parameter.





Statistical Mechanics

$$\operatorname{canh}\left(\frac{M_0B}{NkT}\right) \quad (\mathbf{M} \parallel \mathbf{B})$$

$$= \lambda M_z \hat{\mathbf{z}} \qquad (\mathbf{b} \parallel \mathbf{z} : \operatorname{comes from} S_z^i \langle S_z^j \rangle)$$

$$\operatorname{tanh}\left(\frac{M_z}{M_0} \frac{T_c}{T}\right) \text{ where } T_c = \frac{\lambda M_0^2}{Nk}$$

$$\frac{2M_z/M_0}{M_0 - \ln(1 + M_z/M_0)}$$

4

Conventional Ising model

Solution



 $\frac{M_z}{M_0} = \sqrt{3} \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{2}{5} \sqrt{3} \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c} \right)^{3/2} + \dots$

Statistical Mechanics





4.8.4 Application of a transverse field

- $\mathbf{b} = \lambda M_{7} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ • Ising mean field:
- Applied (transverse) field: $\mathbf{B} = B_{y} \hat{\mathbf{X}}$

• Total field: magnitude

 $\sin\theta =$ direction

Statistical Mechanics



Week 9

6

Mean field recipe says $M = M_0 \tanh\left(\frac{M_0 B_{\text{tot}}}{NkT}\right)$ pointing *parallel* to the (total) field.

• We want the magnetisation pointing in the z direction: $M_{z} = M \sin \theta$

$$M_z = \frac{\lambda M_z}{\sqrt{B_x^2 + \lambda^2 M_z^2}} M_0$$

Statistical Mechanics





$$M_z = \frac{\lambda M_z}{\sqrt{B_x^2 + \lambda^2 M_z^2}} M_0 \tanh\left(\frac{M_0}{N} \frac{\sqrt{B_x^2 + \lambda^2 M_z^2}}{kT}\right)$$

• Reduced (dimensionless) variables

$$b_x = B_x / \lambda M_0, \quad m_z = M_z / M_0, \quad t = T / T_c$$

• Then m_{z} satisfies the *implicit* equation

$$\sqrt{b_x^2 + m_z^2} = \tanh \frac{\sqrt{b_x^2 + m_z^2}}{t}$$

Statistical Mechanics



4.8.5 Transition temperature

$$\sqrt{b_x^2 + m_z^2} = \tanh \frac{\sqrt{b_x^2 + m_z^2}}{t}$$

- Transition occurs when $m_z \rightarrow 0$.
- Transition temperature will be a function of transverse field: $T_c = T_c(B_x)$

$$t_{\rm c}(b_x) = \frac{2b_x}{\ln(1+b_x) - \ln(1-b_x)}$$
$$\frac{T_{\rm c}(B_x)}{T_{\rm c}(B_x=0)} = \frac{2B_x/\lambda M_0}{\ln(\lambda M_0 + B_x) - \ln(\lambda M_0)}$$



9

$$\frac{T_{\rm c}(B_x)}{T_{\rm c}(B_x=0)} = \frac{2B_x/\lambda M_0}{\ln(\lambda M_0 + B_x) - \ln(\lambda M_0)}$$

Comparison with experiment



Classical ($b_x = 0$) find $m_z = \sqrt{3}(1-t)^{1/2}$ Quantum (t = 0) find $m_z = \sqrt{2}(1 - b_x)^{1/2}$



 $-B_{x}$)





4.8.7 Dimensionality and critical exponents Plausibility argument

- Critical exponents *spatial dimensionality* is important — indeed for $d \ge d_m$, mean field will hold. $d_m = 4$ for short-range interactions = 3 for dipole interaction
- Partition function involves summation of Boltzmann factors $e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}$.
- Compare with quantum generator of time evolution: $e^{i\mathcal{H}t/\hbar}$.
- So Boltzmann factor is like an (imaginary) extra dimension.
- As $T \to 0$, or $\beta \to \infty$, spatial displacement gets larger (thermodynamic limit)
- Sums in partition function cover the spatial extent of the system
- So in $T \rightarrow 0$ limit there is an additional dimension to be traversed.

- So in $T \rightarrow 0$ limit there is an additional dimension to be traversed.
- (should have same critical exponents)
- Slope of the fit lines is -1.
- This gives the susceptibility critical exponent $\gamma = 1$.
- Since $d_{\rm m} = 3$ (dipole Ising) we expect $\gamma = 1$ for classical (upper), and $\gamma = 1$ definitely for quantum (lower) – as with β , above.

• A d- dimensional quantum transition is like a d+1 dimensional classical one

Susceptibility measurements





1.7 The Third Law of Thermodynamics 1.7.1 History of the Third Law

- Walter Nernst: chemist! Nernst 'heat theorem' 1906
- History and controversies see Dugdale's book and Wilks's book.
- Question of status of Third Law.

enthalpy for chemical reactions which started and finished at the same temperature.

Nernst measured / inferred the change in Gibbs free energy and the change in

• Nernst's conclusion: As $T \rightarrow 0$ the changes in H and G tend to same:

$\Delta H - \Delta G \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0$

- Nernst used thermodynamic arguments to infer behaviour of S at low T:
 - $\Delta H = T\Delta S + V\Delta p, \quad \Delta G = -S\Delta T + V\Delta p^{-0}$
- Thus Nernst inferred that $T\Delta S \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0$

– No surprise, but

Statistical Mechanics







1.7.2 Entropy

- How fast does $\Delta H \Delta G$ go to zero?
- Nernst observed it went faster than linearly, i.e.

T but $\Delta H - \Delta G = T \Delta S$.

• So Nernst concluded $\Delta S \to 0$ as $T \to 0$

remains a constant in any process at absolute zero.

• The entropy of a body at zero temperature is a constant, independent of all other external parameters. — Nernst heat theorem.

 $\frac{\Delta H - \Delta G}{-} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad T \to 0.$

The entropy change in a process tends to zero at T = 0. The entropy thus



1.7.3 Microscopic viewpoint

- Nernst heat theorem: $S \rightarrow \text{const}$ as $T \rightarrow 0$.
- What is the constant? Look to microscopics.
- Boltzmann entropy $S = k \ln \Omega$.
- Nernst's constant is zero.
- Third Law: As the absolute zero of temperature is approached the entropy of all bodies tends to zero.
- Applies to systems in equilibrium.

Ω is no of microstates in the macrostate. • At T = 0 system will be in its *unique* ground state. Thus $\Omega = 1$ and so S = 0.





Degeneracy?

- What about degeneracy of ground state? Then argument breaks down.
- Note *S* is extensive; must be considered in the thermodynamic limit.
- Should examine how the intensive S/N behaves in the limit $N \to \infty$.
- If degeneracy of the ground state is g then must look at $\ln(g)/N$.
- This will tend to zero in the thermodynamic limit so long as g increases with N no faster than exponentially. This is the fundamental quantum-mechanical principle behind the Third Law.
- See Leggett article for deeper discussion.



Quantum necessity

- Gibbs entropy: $S = -k \sum P_j \ln P_j$ in classical case: $S = -\frac{1}{h^{6N}} k \left[\rho \ln \rho \, \mathrm{d}^{3N} p \, \mathrm{d}^{3N} q \right]$

where ρ is density of points in phase space.

• The Third Law would fail if classical mechanics were to apply down to T=0.

Week 9



6

Classical entropy $S \propto -\int \rho \ln \rho$

- As T decreases the mean energy of the system will decrease.
- So the 'volume' of phase space occupied will decrease density increases.
- Momentum coordinates p will vary over a smaller and smaller range.
- As $T \rightarrow 0$ the p range will become localised closer and closer to p = 0.
- Volume of occupied phase space

in agreement with classical gas: S =

$$ho \,\mathrm{d}^{3N} p \,\mathrm{d}^{3N} q$$

$$\rightarrow 0, \text{ so } S \rightarrow -\infty.$$

$$Nk \ln \left[\left(\frac{mkT}{2\pi\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \frac{V}{N} e^{5/2} \right]$$



to a volume smaller than the appropriate power of Planck's constant.

This fundamental limitation of the density of phase points recovers the Third Law. Thus again we see the intimate connection between quantum mechanics and the Third law.

we see that the Third Law tells us there is an absolute zero of entropy.

• The Uncertainly Principle of quantum mechanics limits the low temperature position-momentum of a system; you cannot localise points in phase space

The Second Law tells us that there is an absolute zero of temperature. Now



1.7.4 Unattainability of absolute zero



Law.



 Although one cannot get all the way to T = 0, it is possible to get closer and closer.

The figure (adapted and extended from Pobel's book), indicates the success in this venture.





Consequences of the Th 1.7.5 Heat capacity



Statistical Mechanics

hird Law
$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \text{ anything}} \to 0 \text{ as } T \to 0$$

$$\frac{Q}{T} = T \frac{\partial S}{\partial T}.$$
as $T \to 0$
as $T \to 0$

Solid heat capacity Classical equipartition

Einstein and Debye







Expansion coefficient

• Application of the Third Law often involves the use of a Maxwell relation.

The relevant Maxwell relation here is

 Left side has expansion coefficient. Right side connects with Third Law.



So expansion coefficient $\rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0$.

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\Big|_{p} = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial p}\Big|_{T}$$



Magnetic susceptibility

• Get the variables right (analogy with μ

$$\Delta W = -p\Delta V \quad \text{com}$$

Magnetic susceptibility:

Recipe says

but there is no Maxwell relation for this. So

$$p - V$$
 system)

npare with $\Delta W = -M\Delta B$

So take p - V results and substitute $p \rightarrow M$ and $V \rightarrow B$. — "magnetic recipe" $\chi = \frac{\mu_0}{V} \frac{\partial M}{\partial B}.$ $\chi V/\mu_0 \rightarrow \frac{\partial p}{\partial V}$





 $\partial(\chi V/\mu$

 ∂T



Now the right hand side of these $\rightarrow 0$ by the Third Law.

Statistical Mechanics

$$\frac{u_0}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial T \partial B} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial T \partial V} \quad .$$

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial T} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \frac{\partial p}{\partial T}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial B} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial B}$$

T





 $d(\chi V/\mu_0)$

 ∂T



Now the right hand side of these $\rightarrow 0$ by the Third Law.

$$\frac{\partial O}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial T \partial B} \\ \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial T \partial V} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial T \partial V} .$$
$$\frac{M}{\partial T} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \\ \frac{\partial M}{\partial T} \bigg|_B = \frac{\partial S}{\partial B} \bigg|_T$$

Conclusion: дχ $\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } T \rightarrow 0$ ∂T Or

 $\chi \rightarrow \text{const} \text{ as } T \rightarrow 0$

Curie's law !!!



